

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/599,113	09/20/2006	Eric Jonsen	US040147US	1777	
28159 PHILIPS MEI	7590 02/10/200 DICAL SYSTEMS	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			BEHRINGER	BEHRINGER, LUTHER G	
P.O. BOX 300 22100 BOTH	3 ELL EVERETT HIGHV	VAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOTHELL, WA 98041-3003		3766	3766		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/599,113	JONSEN ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
LUTHER G. BEHRINGER	3766					

	LUTHER G. BEHRINGER	3766			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar i, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date).				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFF 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a		
AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		.,	,		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.9-16.18-21 and 23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Carl H. Layno/ SPE, Art Unit 3766	/Luther G Behringer/ Examiner, Art Unit 3766				

Applicant argues the relevance of the rigid release liner as proposed in the claims. Applicant discloses the rigid release liner as comprising a polymeric sheet such as a high-density polythylene among other embodiments in the specification (Page 1, in 13, The prior at of record, Keusch et al. (US 4,989,607) discloses use of a polyethylene release liner (Col. 14, II. 35 - 39). Keusch is silent as to the density of the polyethylene release liner.

Keusch discloses the claimed invention except for the density or thickness of the polyethylene release liner. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to utilize a denser or thicker release liner, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).